

SO. CAL. EQUAL ACCESS GROUP  
Jason J. Kim (SBN 190246)  
Jason Yoon (SBN 306137)  
Kevin Hong (SBN 299040)  
101 S. Western Ave., Second Floor  
Los Angeles, CA 90004  
Telephone: (213) 205-6560  
cm@SoCalEAG.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff  
**MARIA GARCIA**

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

MARIA GARCIA,  
Plaintiff,  
vs.

GEORGE MEIDA D/B/A RANCHERS  
MARKET; INAAM MEIDA, AS  
TRUSTEE OF THE ELIAN YOUSEF  
AND INAAM MEIDA REVOCABLE  
FAMILY TRUST; and DOES 1 to 10,

## Defendants.

**Case No.:**

**COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE  
RELIEF AND DAMAGES FOR DENIAL  
OF CIVIL RIGHTS OF A DISABLED  
PERSON IN VIOLATIONS OF**

1. AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT, 42 U.S.C. §12131 et seq.;
  2. CALIFORNIA'S UNRUH CIVIL RIGHTS ACT;
  3. CALIFORNIA'S DISABLED PERSONS ACT;
  4. CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE;
  5. NEGLIGENCE

Plaintiff MARIA GARCIA (“Plaintiff”) complains of Defendants GEORGE MEIDA D/B/A RANCHERS MARKET; INAAM MEIDA, AS TRUSTEE OF THE ELIAN YOUSEF AND INAAM MEIDA REVOCABLE FAMILY TRUST; and DOES 1 to 10 (“Defendants”) and alleges as follows:

## PARTIES

1. Plaintiff is a California resident with a physical disability. Plaintiff suffers from advanced multiple sclerosis and is substantially limited in her ability to walk. Plaintiff requires the use of a wheelchair at all times when traveling in public.

2. Defendants are, or were at the time of the incident, the real property owners, business operators, lessors and/or lessees of the real property for a gas station and convenience store (“Business”) located at or about 9001 Elizabeth Lake Rd., Leona Valley, California.

3. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate or otherwise of Defendant DOES 1 through 10, and each of them, are unknown to Plaintiff, who therefore sues said Defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiff will ask leave of Court to amend this Complaint when the true names and capacities have been ascertained. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and, based thereon, alleges that each such fictitiously named Defendants are responsible in some manner, and therefore, liable to Plaintiff for the acts herein alleged.

4. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges that, at all relevant times, each of the Defendants was the agent, employee, or alter-ego of each of the other Defendants, and/or was acting in concert with each of the other Defendants, and in doing the things alleged herein was acting with the knowledge and consent of the other Defendants and within the course and scope of such agency or employment relationship.

5. Whenever and wherever reference is made in this Complaint to any act or failure to act by a defendant or Defendants, such allegations and references shall also be deemed to mean the acts and failures to act of each Defendant acting individually, jointly and severally.

## **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

6. The Court has jurisdiction of this action pursuant to 28 USC §§ 1331 and 1333 for violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, (42 USC §12101, *et seq.*).

1       7. Pursuant to pendant jurisdiction, attendant and related causes of action,  
2 arising from the same nucleus of operating facts, are also brought under California law,  
3 including, but not limited to, violations of California Civil Code §§51, 51.5, 52(a), 52.1,  
4 54, 54., 54.3 and 55.

5       8. Plaintiff's claims are authorized by 28 USC §§ 2201 and 2202.

6       9. Venue is proper in this court pursuant to 28 USC §1331(b). The real  
7 property which is the subject of this action is located in this district, Los Angeles County,  
8 California, and that all actions complained of herein take place in this district.

### 9                   FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

10      10. In or about July of 2024, Plaintiff went to the Business.

11      11. The Business is a gas station and convenience store business establishment,  
12 open to the public, and is a place of public accommodation that affects commerce  
13 through its operation. Defendants provide parking spaces for customers.

14      12. While attempting to enter the Business during each visit, Plaintiff personally  
15 encountered a number of barriers that interfered with her ability to use and enjoy the  
16 goods, services, privileges, and accommodations offered at the Business.

17      13. To the extent of Plaintiff's personal knowledge, the barriers at the Business  
18 included, but were not limited to, the following:

- 19           a. Defendants failed to comply with the federal and state standards for  
20                  the parking space designated for persons with disabilities. Defendants  
21                  failed to provide the parking space identification sign with the  
22                  International Symbol of Accessibility.
- 23           b. Defendants failed to comply with the federal and state standards for  
24                  the parking space designated for persons with disabilities. Defendants  
25                  failed to post required signage such as "Van Accessible," "Minimum  
26                  Fine \$250" and "Unauthorized Parking."
- 27           c. Defendants failed to comply with the federal and state standards for  
28                  the parking space designated for persons with disabilities. Defendants

1 failed to provide proper van accessible space designated for the  
2 persons with disabilities as there was no access aisle for wheelchair  
3 drop-off.

- 4 d. Defendants failed to comply with the federal and state standards for  
5 the parking space designated for persons with disabilities. Defendants  
6 failed to paint the ground as required.
- 7 e. Defendants failed to comply with the federal and state standards for  
8 the parking space designated for persons with disabilities. Defendants  
9 failed to paint the International Symbol of Accessibility on the surface  
10 as required as its lower side or corner did not align with the end of the  
11 parking space length.
- 12 f. Defendants failed to comply with the federal and state standards for  
13 the parking space designated for persons with disabilities. Defendants  
14 failed to provide a van parking stall and access aisle with level surface  
15 slope as there were multiple covers on the parking stall and access  
16 aisle.

17 14. These barriers and conditions denied Plaintiff the full and equal access to the  
18 Business and caused Plaintiff difficulty and frustration. Plaintiff wishes to return and  
19 patronize the Business; however, Plaintiff is deterred from visiting the Business because  
20 her knowledge of these violations prevents her from returning until the barriers are  
21 removed.

22 15. Based on the violations, Plaintiff alleges, on information and belief, that  
23 there are additional barriers to accessibility at the Business after further site inspection.  
24 Plaintiff seeks to have all barriers related to her disability remedied. *See Doran v. 7-*  
25 *Eleven, Inc.* 524 F.3d 1034 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2008).

26 16. In addition, Plaintiff alleges, on information and belief, that Defendants  
27 knew that particular barriers render the Business inaccessible, violate state and federal  
28 law, and interfere with access for the physically disabled.

17. At all relevant times, Defendants had and still have control and dominion over the conditions at this location and had and still have the financial resources to remove these barriers without much difficulty or expenses to make the Business accessible to the physically disabled in compliance with ADDAG and Title 24 regulations. Defendants have not removed such barriers and have not modified the Business to conform to accessibility regulations.

## **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

## **VII. VIOLATION OF THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT OF 1990**

18. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior paragraphs in this complaint.

19. Under the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (“ADA”), no individual shall be discriminated against on the basis of disability in the full and equal enjoyment of the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations of any place of public accommodation by any person who owns, leases, or leases to, or operates a place of public accommodation. *See* 42 U.S.C. § 12182(a).

20. Discrimination, *inter alia*, includes:

- a. A failure to make reasonable modification in policies, practices, or procedures, when such modifications are necessary to afford such goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations to individuals with disabilities, unless the entity can demonstrate that making such modifications would fundamentally alter the nature of such goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(ii).
  - b. A failure to take such steps as may be necessary to ensure that no individual with a disability is excluded, denied services, segregated or otherwise treated differently than other individuals because of the absence of auxiliary aids and services, unless the entity can demonstrate that taking such steps would fundamentally alter the

1 nature of the good, service, facility, privilege, advantage, or  
2 accommodation being offered or would result in an undue burden. 42  
3 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(iii).

- 4 c. A failure to remove architectural barriers, and communication barriers  
5 that are structural in nature, in existing facilities, and transportation  
6 barriers in existing vehicles and rail passenger cars used by an  
7 establishment for transporting individuals (not including barriers that  
8 can only be removed through the retrofitting of vehicles or rail  
9 passenger cars by the installation of a hydraulic or other lift), where  
10 such removal is readily achievable. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(iv).  
11 d. A failure to make alterations in such a manner that, to the maximum  
12 extent feasible, the altered portions of the facility are readily  
13 accessible to and usable by individuals with disabilities, including  
14 individuals who use wheelchairs or to ensure that, to the maximum  
15 extent feasible, the path of travel to the altered area and the  
16 bathrooms, telephones, and drinking fountains serving the altered  
17 area, are readily accessible to and usable by individuals with  
18 disabilities where such alterations to the path or travel or the  
19 bathrooms, telephones, and drinking fountains serving the altered area  
20 are not disproportionate to the overall alterations in terms of cost and  
21 scope. 42 U.S.C. § 12183(a)(2).

22 21. Where parking spaces are provided, accessible parking spaces shall be  
23 provided. 1991 ADA Standards § 4.1.2(5); 2010 ADA Standards § 208. One in every  
24 eight accessible spaces, but not less than one, shall be served by an access aisle 96 in  
25 (2440 mm) wide minimum and shall be designated “van accessible.” 1991 ADA  
26 Standards § 4.1.2(5)(b). For every six or fraction of six parking spaces, at least one shall  
27 be a van accessible parking space. 2010 ADA Standards § 208.2.4.  
28

1       22. Under the ADA, the method and color of marking are to be addressed by  
2 State or local laws or regulations. See 36 C.F.R., Part 1191. Under the California  
3 Building Code (“CBC”), the parking space identification signs shall include the  
4 International Symbol of Accessibility. Parking identification signs shall be reflectorized  
5 with a minimum area of 70 square inches. Additional language or an additional sign  
6 below the International Symbol of Accessibility shall state “Minimum Fine \$250.” A  
7 parking space identification sign shall be permanently posted immediately adjacent and  
8 visible from each parking space, shall be located with its centerline a maximum of 12  
9 inches from the centerline of the parking space and may be posted on a wall at the  
10 interior end of the parking space. See CBC § 11B-502.6, et seq.

11      23. Moreover, an additional sign shall be posted either in a conspicuous place at  
12 each entrance to an off-street parking facility or immediately adjacent to on-site  
13 accessible parking and visible from each parking space. The additional sign shall not be  
14 less than 17 inches wide by 22 inches high. The additional sign shall clearly state in  
15 letters with a minimum height of 1 inch the following: “Unauthorized vehicles parked in  
16 designated accessible spaces not displaying distinguishing placards or special license  
17 plates issued for persons with disabilities will be towed always at the owner’s expense...”  
18 See CBC § 11B-502.8, et seq.

19      24. Here, Defendants failed to provide the parking space identification sign with  
20 the International Symbol of Accessibility. In addition, Defendants failed to provide the  
21 signs stating, “Minimum Fine \$250” and “Van Accessible.” Moreover, Defendants failed  
22 to provide the additional sign with the specific language stating “Unauthorized vehicles  
23 parked in designated accessible spaces not displaying distinguishing placards or special  
24 license plates issued for persons with disabilities will be towed always at the owner’s  
25 expense...”

26      25. For the parking spaces, access aisles shall be marked with a blue painted  
27 borderline around their perimeter. The area within the blue borderlines shall be marked  
28 with hatched lines a maximum of 36 inches (914 mm) on center in a color contrasting

1 with that of the aisle surface, preferably blue or white. The words "NO PARKING" shall  
2 be painted on the surface within each access aisle in white letters a minimum of 12 inches  
3 (305 mm) in height and located to be visible from the adjacent vehicular way. CBC §  
4 11B-502.3.3.

5 26. Here, Defendants failed to provide a proper access aisle as there were no  
6 "NO PARKING" markings and blue hatched lines painted on the parking surface.  
7 Moreover, Defendants failed to provide the access aisle with the minimum width of 96  
8 inches.

9 27. The surface of each accessible car and van space shall have surface  
10 identification complying with either of the following options: The outline of a profile  
11 view of a wheelchair with occupant in white on a blue background a minimum 36" wide  
12 by 36" high (914 mm x 914 mm). The centerline of the profile view shall be a maximum  
13 of 6 inches (152 mm) from the centerline of the parking space, its sides parallel to the  
14 length of the parking space and its lower side or corner aligned with the end of the  
15 parking space length or by outlining or painting the parking space in blue and outlining  
16 on the ground in white or a suitable contrasting color a profile view of a wheel chair with  
17 occupant. See CBC § 11B-502.6.4, et seq.

18 28. Here, Defendants failed to paint the International Symbol of Accessibility on  
19 the surface as required as its lower side or corner did not align with the end of the parking  
20 space length.

21 29. Under the 1991 Standards, parking spaces and access aisles must be level  
22 with surface slopes not exceeding 1:50 (2%) in all directions. 1991 Standards § 4.6.2.  
23 Accessible parking spaces shall be at least 96 in (2440 mm) wide. Parking access aisles  
24 shall be part of an accessible route to the building or facility entrance and shall comply  
25 with 4.3. Two accessible parking spaces may share a common access aisle. Parked  
26 vehicle overhangs shall not reduce the clear width of an accessible route. Parking spaces  
27 and access aisles shall be level with surface slopes not exceeding 1:50 (2%) in all  
28 directions. 1991 Standards § 4.6.3.

1       30. Here, the van parking stall and access aisle were not level as there were  
 2 multiple covers on the parking stall and access aisle. Under the 2010 Standards, access  
 3 aisles shall be at the same level as the parking spaces they serve. Changes in level are not  
 4 permitted. 2010 Standards § 502.4. “Access aisles are required to be nearly level in all  
 5 directions to provide a surface for transfer to and from vehicles.” 2010 Standards § 502.4  
 6 Advisory. Id. No more than a 1:48 slope is permitted.

7       31. A public accommodation shall maintain in operable working condition those  
 8 features of facilities and equipment that are required to be readily accessible to and usable  
 9 by persons with disabilities by the Act or this part. 28 C.F.R. 35.211(a).

10      32. By failing to maintain the facility to be readily accessible and usable by  
 11 Plaintiff, Defendants are in violation of Plaintiff’s rights under the ADA and its related  
 12 regulations.

13      33. The Business has denied and continues to deny full and equal access to  
 14 Plaintiff and to other people with disabilities. Plaintiff has been and will continue to be  
 15 discriminated against due to the lack of accessible facilities, and therefore, seeks  
 16 injunctive relief to alter facilities to make such facilities readily accessible to and usable  
 17 by individuals with disabilities.

### **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

#### **VIOLATION OF THE UNRUH CIVIL RIGHTS ACT**

20      34. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior  
 21 paragraphs in this complaint.

22      35. California Civil Code § 51 states, “All persons within the jurisdiction of this  
 23 state are free and equal, and no matter what their sex, race, color, religion, ancestry,  
 24 national origin, disability, medical condition, genetic information, marital status, sexual  
 25 orientation, citizenship, primary language, or immigration status are entitled to the full  
 26 and equal accommodations, advantages, facilities, privileges, or services in all business  
 27 establishments of every kind whatsoever.”

1       36. California Civil Code § 52 states, “Whoever denies, aids or incites a denial,  
 2 or make any discrimination or distinction contrary to Section 51, 515, or 51.6, is liable  
 3 for each and every offense for the actual damages, and any amount that may be  
 4 determined by a jury, or a court sitting without a jury, up to a maximum of three times the  
 5 amount of actual damage but in no case less than four thousand dollars (\$4,000) and any  
 6 attorney’s fees that may be determined by the court in addition thereto, suffered by any  
 7 person denied the rights provided in Section 51, 51.5, or 51.6.

8       37. California Civil Code § 51(f) specifies, “a violation of the right of any  
 9 individual under federal Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (Public Law 101-336)  
 10 shall also constitute a violation of this section.”

11      38. The actions and omissions of Defendants alleged herein constitute a denial  
 12 of full and equal accommodation, advantages, facilities, privileges, or services by  
 13 physically disabled persons within the meaning of California Civil Code §§ 51 and 52.  
 14 Defendants have discriminated against Plaintiff in violation of California Civil Code §§  
 15 51 and 52.

16      39. The violations of the Unruh Civil Rights Act caused Plaintiff to experience  
 17 difficulty, discomfort, or embarrassment. The Defendants are also liable for statutory  
 18 damages as specified in California Civil Code §55.56(a)-(c).

### **THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION**

#### **VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA DISABLED PERSONS ACT**

20      40. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior  
 21 paragraphs in this complaint.

22      41. California Civil Code § 54.1(a) states, “Individuals with disabilities shall be  
 23 entitled to full and equal access, as other members of the general public, to  
 24 accommodations, advantages, facilities, medical facilities, including hospitals, clinics,  
 25 and physicians’ offices, and privileges of all common carriers, airplanes, motor vehicles,  
 26 railroad trains, motorbuses, streetcars, boats, or any other public conveyances or modes  
 27 of transportation (whether private, public, franchised, licensed, contracted, or otherwise

1 provided), telephone facilities, adoption agencies, private schools, hotels, loading places,  
 2 places of public accommodations, amusement, or resort, and other places in which the  
 3 general public is invited, subject only to the conditions and limitations established by  
 4 law, or state or federal regulation, and applicable alike to all persons.

5       42. California Civil Code § 54.3(a) states, “Any person or persons, firm or  
 6 corporation who denies or interferes with admittance to or enjoyment of public facilities  
 7 as specified in Sections 54 and 54.1 or otherwise interferes with the rights of an  
 8 individual with a disability under Sections 54, 54.1 and 54.2 is liable for each offense for  
 9 the actual damages, and any amount as may be determined by a jury, or a court sitting  
 10 without a jury, up to a maximum of three times the amount of actual damages but in no  
 11 case less than one thousand dollars (\$1,000) and any attorney’s fees that may be  
 12 determined by the court in addition thereto, suffered by any person denied the rights  
 13 provided in Section 54, 54.1, and 54.2.

14       43. California Civil Code § 54(d) specifies, “a violation of the right of an  
 15 individual under Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (Public Law 101-336) also  
 16 constitute a violation of this section, and nothing in this section shall be construed to limit  
 17 the access of any person in violation of that act.

18       44. The actions and omissions of Defendants alleged herein constitute a denial  
 19 of full and equal accommodation, advantages, and facilities by physically disabled  
 20 persons within the meaning of California Civil Code § 54. Defendants have  
 21 discriminated against Plaintiff in violation of California Civil Code § 54.

22       45. The violations of the California Disabled Persons Act caused Plaintiff to  
 23 experience difficulty, discomfort, and embarrassment. The Defendants are also liable for  
 24 statutory damages as specified in California Civil Code §55.56(a)-(c).

#### **FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

#### **CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 19955, et seq.**

25       46. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior  
 26 paragraphs in this complaint.

47. Plaintiff and other similar physically disabled persons who require the use of a wheelchair are unable to use public facilities on a “full and equal” basis unless each such facility is in compliance with the provisions of California Health & Safety Code § 19955 et seq. Plaintiff is a member of the public whose rights are protected by the provisions of California Health & Safety Code § 19955 et seq.

48. The purpose of California Health & Safety Code § 1995 et seq. is to ensure that public accommodations or facilities constructed in this state with private funds adhere to the provisions of Chapter 7 (commencing with Section 4450) of Division 5 of Title 1 of the Government Code. The code relating to such public accommodations also require that “when sanitary facilities are made available for the public, clients, or employees in these stations, centers, or buildings, they shall be made available for persons with disabilities.

49. Title II of the ADA holds as a “general rule” that no individual shall be discriminated against on the basis of disability in the full and equal enjoyment of goods (or use), services, facilities, privileges, and accommodations offered by any person who owns, operates, or leases a place of public accommodation. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(a). Further, each and every violation of the ADA also constitutes a separate and distinct violation of California Civil Code §§ 54(c) and 54.1(d), thus independently justifying an award of damages and injunctive relief pursuant to California law, including but not limited to Civil Code § 54.3 and Business and Professions Code § 17200, et seq.

## **FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

## NEGLIGENCE

50. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior paragraphs in this complaint.

51. Defendants have a general duty and a duty under the ADA, Unruh Civil Rights Act and California Disabled Persons Act to provide safe and accessible facilities to the Plaintiff.

52. Defendants breached their duty of care by violating the provisions of ADA, Unruh Civil Rights Act and California Disabled Persons Act.

53. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' negligent conduct, Plaintiff has suffered damages.

## **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully prays for relief and judgment against Defendants as follows:

1. For preliminary and permanent injunction directing Defendants to comply with the Americans with Disability Act and the Unruh Civil Rights Act;
  2. Award of all appropriate damages, including but not limited to statutory damages, general damages and treble damages in amounts, according to proof;
  3. Award of all reasonable restitution for Defendants' unfair competition practices;
  4. Reasonable attorney's fees, litigation expenses, and costs of suit in this action;
  5. Prejudgment interest pursuant to California Civil Code § 3291; and
  6. Such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

## **DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY**

Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

Dated: October 16, 2024

## SO. CAL. EQUAL ACCESS GROUP

By: /s/ Jason J. Kim  
Jason J. Kim, Esq.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff